

Remarks/Arguments

A. Status of the Claims

Claim 22 is revised to include the subject matter of claim 40, which has been cancelled. The preamble of claim 23 is revised to correspond to the amendments made to claim 22. Applicant requests that these amendments be made of record, as it reduces the issues for appeal and also places this case into a condition for allowance (see below). *See* 37 C.F.R. 1.116(b).

This leaves claims 22-24, 28-39, 42-43, and 47-49 as pending.

B. Restatement of Telephone Interview

Examiner Rabon Sergent and Applicant's representative, Michael Krawzsenek, discussed the Final Office Action *via* telephone on January 14, 2010. In particular, the use of the term "poly(thio)urethane/urea" in the preamble of claim 22 and the subject matter of claim 40 were discussed. Examiner Sergent indicated that if "poly(thio)urethane/urea" was replaced with "polythiourethane/urea" in the preamble and if the subject matter of claim 40 was introduced into claim 22, then the written description rejection would be withdrawn and no further indefiniteness rejection would be presented.


C. Written Description Rejection Is Moot

Although Applicant respectfully disagrees with the current written description rejection, in an effort to further prosecution the preamble to claim 22 is revised by replacing "poly(thio)urethane/urea" with "polythiourethane/urea." This renders the written description rejection moot. Applicant requests that it be withdrawn.

D. Conclusion

Applicants believe that the present document is a complete response to the Advisory Action mailed February 25, 2010. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (512) 536-3020 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael R. Krawzsenek
Reg. No. 51,898
Attorney for Applicants

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400
Austin, Texas 78701
512.536.3020 (voice)
512.536.4598 (fax)

Date: March 4, 2010